

Polynomial Sequences of Binomial Type and Path Integrals*

Vladimir V. Kisil[†]

August 8, 1998; Revised October 19, 2001

Abstract

Polynomial sequences $p_n(x)$ of binomial type are a principal tool in the umbral calculus of enumerative combinatorics. We express $p_n(x)$ as a *path integral* in the “phase space” $\mathbb{N} \times [-\pi, \pi]$. The Hamiltonian is $h(\phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p'_n(0)/n! e^{in\phi}$ and it produces a Schrödinger type equation for $p_n(x)$. This establishes a bridge between enumerative combinatorics and quantum field theory. It also provides an algorithm for parallel quantum computations.

Contents

1	Introduction on Polynomial Sequences of Binomial Type	2
2	Preliminaries on Path Integrals	4
3	Polynomial Sequences from Path Integrals	6
4	Some Applications	10

*Partially supported by the grant YSU081025 of *Renaissance* foundation (Ukraine).

[†]On leave from the Odessa National University (Ukraine).

Keywords and phrases. Feynman path integral, umbral calculus, polynomial sequence of binomial type, token, Schrödinger equation, propagator, wave function, cumulants, quantum computation.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05A40; Secondary: 05A15, 58D30, 81Q30, 81R30, 81S40.

Under the inspiring guidance of Feynman, a short-hand way of expressing—and of thinking about—these quantities have been developed.

Lewis H. Ryder [22, Chap. 5].

1 Introduction on Polynomial Sequences of Binomial Type

The umbral calculus [16, 18, 19, 21] in enumerative combinatorics uses polynomial sequences of binomial type as a principal ingredient. A polynomial sequence $p_n(x)$ is said to be of *binomial type* [18, p. 102] if $p_0(x) = 1$ and if it satisfies the *binomial identity*,

$$p_n(x + y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p_k(x) p_{n-k}(y) \quad (1)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 1.1 Among many examples [18, § 5] of polynomial sequences of binomial type we mention only very few:

1. The power monomials $p_n(x) = x^n$.
2. The rising factorial sequence $p_n(x) = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$.
3. The falling factorial sequence $p_n(x) = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1)$.
4. The Abel polynomials $A_n(x) = x(x-an)^{n-1}$.
5. The Laguerre polynomials

$$L_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{n-1}{k-1} (-x)^k. \quad (2)$$

Using *delta functionals* one can show that [18, p. 106] that for any polynomial sequence of binomial type $p_n(x)$:

$$p_n(0) = \delta_{n0} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0; \\ 0, & n > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

For a polynomial sequence $p_n(x)$ we could consider its coefficients $a_{n,k}$ in its decomposition over power monomials x^k :

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} x^k.$$

The coefficients $a_{n,k}$ are an example of *connecting constants* [18, § 6] between sequences $p_n(x)$ and x^n . The definition (1) of polynomial sequences of binomial type can be expressed in the term of coefficients $a_{n,k}$ as follows [18, Prop. 4.3]:

$$\binom{i+j}{i} a_{n,i+j} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{k,i} a_{n-k,j}. \quad (4)$$

Therefore these coefficients are highly interdependent. Particularly from (3) it follows that $a_{n,0} = \delta_{n,0}$. Moreover one can observe from (4) that the knowledge of the sequence $c_n = a_{n,1}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ allows to reconstruct all $a_{n,k}$ by a recursion. Sequence $c_n = a_{n,1}$ is known as *cumulants* associated with polynomials $p_n(x)$ and the related probability measure, see e.g. [15] for a survey. One may state the following

Problem 1.2 Give a direct expression for a polynomial sequence $p_n(x)$ of binomial type from the sequence of its cumulants $c_n = a_{n,1} = p'_n(0)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$

There are several well known solutions to that problem. The umbral calculus suggests the following procedure¹ (see [12] for an interesting exposition). Let us define the formal power series:

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (5)$$

then the function $e^{xf(t)}$ is a generating function for polynomials $p_n(x)$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{xf(t)}. \quad (6)$$

The main goal of this paper is to present a different solution to the Problem 1.2. It is probably not the best from the computation point of view unless computations are made in parallel by a quantum computer, see Remark 4.1. We will express $p_n(x)$ as a *path integral* (23) in the “phase space” $\mathbb{N} \times [-\pi, \pi]$. The Fourier transform $h(\phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n!} e^{in\phi}$ of the sequence $c_n/n! = p'_n(0)/n!$ plays the rôle of a *Hamiltonian*. Thus we establish a connection between enumerative combinatorics and quantum field theory. This connection could be useful in both areas. It is interesting to note that quantum mechanical commutation relations $PQ - QP = I$ was applied to umbral calculus by J. CIGLER [2]. Existence of a “yet-to-be-discovered stochastic process”

¹I am grateful to the first anonymous referee for its indication.

connected with Laguerre polynomials was conjectured by S.M. ROMAN and G.-C. ROTA [18]. Note also that the approach to the umbral calculus developed in [16, 18, 19, 21] can be a prototype for a general construction of *coherent states* (*wavelets*) in Banach spaces [10].

2 Preliminaries on Path Integrals

Let a physical system has the *configuration space* $\mathbb{Q}$. This means that we can label results of our measurements performed on the system at any time $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ by points of $\mathbb{Q}$. For a quantum system dynamics the principal quantity is the *propagator* [5, § 2.2], [22, § 5.1] $K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1)$ —a complex valued function defined on $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{R}$. It is a probability amplitude for a transition $q_1 \rightarrow q_2$ from a state q_1 at time t_1 to q_2 at time t_2 . The *density of probability* of this transition is postulated to be

$$P(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = |K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1)|^2.$$

The fundamental assumption about the quantum world is the *absence of trajectories* for a system's evolution through the configurational space $\mathbb{Q}$: the system at any time t_i could be found at any point q_i . Then the transition amplitude $q_1 \rightarrow q_2$ is a result of all possible transitions amplitudes $q_1 \rightarrow q_i \rightarrow q_2$ integrated over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Mathematically this can be expressed in the term of propagator K as follows. Let us fix any t_i , $t_1 < t_i < t_2$. There exists a measure dq on $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}} K(q_2, t_2; q_i, t_i) K(q_i, t_i; q_1, t_1) dq_i. \quad (7)$$

This identity could be thought as a *recurrence formula* for the propagator K .

Another assumption on propagator is its skew symmetry

$$K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \overline{K(q_1, t_1; q_2, t_2)}, \quad (8)$$

it ensures that all transitions $q_1 \rightarrow q_2$ are done by unitary operators.

It is useful to consider $K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1)$ as a kernel for the associated integral operator $K : L_2(\mathbb{Q}, dq) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{Q}, dq)$ defined as

$$[K\phi](q, t) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}} K(q, t; q_i, t_i) \phi(q_i, t_i) dq_i, \quad (9)$$

with t_i is fixed. Operator K is defined on functions $\phi(q, t) \in L_2(\mathbb{Q}, dq)$ such that the right-hand side of (9) does not depend on t_i . Then (7) is read as

$K^2 = K$ and (8) implies $K^* = K$. By other word K is an orthoprojection² from $L_2(\mathbb{Q}, dq)$ to its proper subspace $\text{Im } K$.

Another consequence of (7): functions $\phi(g_2, t_2)$ which belongs to image of operator K are fixed points for K :

$$\phi(q, t) = [K\phi](q, t) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}} K(q, t; q_i, t_i) \phi(q_i, t_i) dq_i. \quad (10)$$

These are *wave functions* and describe actual *states* of the system. It follows from (7) that all functions $\kappa(q, t) = K(q, t; q_0, t_0)$ for arbitrary fixed $q_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ are wave functions too. The function $|\phi(q, t)|^2$ gives the probability distribution over $\mathbb{Q}$ to observe the system in a point q at time t if it was in q_0 at time t_0 .

R. FEYNMAN developing ideas of A. EINSTEIN, SMOLUHOVSKI and P.A.M. DIRAC proposed an expression for the propagator via the “integral over all possible paths”. In a different form it can be written as follows [22, (5.13)]

$$K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}p}{h} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt (p\dot{q} - H(p, q)) \right). \quad (11)$$

Here $H(p, q)$ is the classical Hamiltonian [1, Chap. 9] of the system—a function defined over the *phase space*. In the simplest case the phase space is the Cartesian product of the configuration space $\mathbb{Q}$ and the space of momenta dual to it. Points in phase space have a double set of coordinates (q, p) , where q is points of the configuration space $\mathbb{Q}$ and p is a coordinate in the space of momenta. The inner integral in (11) is taken over a path in the phase space. The outer integral is taken over “all possible paths with respect to a measure $\mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}p$ on paths in the phase space”. We construct an example of path integral (21)–(22)) similar to (11) in the next section.

From reading of physics textbooks [5, 22] one can obtain an impression that the measure $\mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}p$ could be well defined from an unspecified complicated mathematical construction³. But this is *not* true up to now: *there is no a general mathematically rigorous definition of the measure in the path*

²For a model of relativistic quantisation generated by two orthoprojections see [9].

³The editor preface to the Russian translation of [5] tells: “There is no a rigorous definition of the path integral in the book of Feynman and Hibbs... However it is not very important for a physicist in most cases; he only need an assurance that a rigorous proof could be obtained”. The recent textbook [22] which were already reprinted 9 times in two editions refereed for a rigorous path integral construction to the old paper [6], however it is known that this approach is not complete, see e.g. the review of this article MR # 17,1261c as well as [3] and [17, Notes X.11].

space. However there are different approaches of regularisation of path integrals, see [4, 23] for recent accounts.

It is known that path integrals give fundamental solutions of evolutionary equations [3]. Particularly wave functions (10) are solutions to the Schrödinger equation [22, § 5.2], [5, § 4.1]

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi(q, t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}(q, p) \phi(q, t), \quad (12)$$

where $\hat{H}(q, p)$ is an operator which is the quantum Hamiltonian. It was mentioned that functions $\kappa(q, t) = K(q, t; q_0, t_0)$ are wave functions and thus are solutions to (12). In fact it follows from (10) that they are *fundamental solutions*, i.e. any other solution is a linear combination (*superposition* in physical language) of those. Therefore the propagator $K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1)$ contains the complete information on dynamics of a quantum system defined by the equation (12).

3 Polynomial Sequences from Path Integrals

Let the configuration space $\mathbb{Q}$ be a semigroup with an operation denoted by $+$ and let a propagator $K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1)$ be homogeneous in time and space, i.e.

$$K(q_2 + q, t_2 + t; q_1 + q, t_1 + t) = K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1), \quad (13)$$

for all $q, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $t, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Such a propagator corresponds to a free time-shift invariant system. Then $K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1)$ is completely defined by values of the function $S(q, t)$ such that $S(q_2 - q_1, t_2 - t_1) = K(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1)$. From (7) we can deduce a “recurrence” identity for the function $S(q, t)$ [3]:

$$S(q, t_1 + t_2) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}} S(q_i, t_1) S(q - q_i, t_2) dq_i. \quad (14)$$

An equation of the form (14) was taken in [11] as the definition of *tokens* between two cancellative semigroups $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+$. It allows to express a time shift by the integral of all space shifts over $\mathbb{Q}$. Tokens are closest relatives to *sectional coefficients* considered by Henle [7]. A polynomial sequence $p_n(x)$ of binomial type is another example of token [11]: the sequence $q_n(x) = p_n(x)/n!$ is a token between cancellative semigroup $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. from (1) follows a realization of (14) in the form

$$q_n(x + y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k(y) q_{n-k}(x), \quad (15)$$

i.e. in this case the configuration space $\mathbb{Q}$ is the discrete set of nonnegative numbers and the integration reduces to summation.

If polynomial sequence $q_n(x)$ represents a particular propagator for a configuration space $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{N}$ then one may wish to obtain a path integral representation in the form (11) for it. We do it in a way parallel to the path integral deduction in [22, § 5.1].

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary positive integer. Then repetitive use of (15) allows to write

$$q_n(x) = \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_N=n} q_{k_1}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) q_{k_2}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \cdots q_{k_N}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right). \quad (16)$$

The first two terms in the Taylor expansion of q_{k_j} , $j = 1, \dots, N$ are:

$$q_{k_j}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) = \delta_{k_j 0} + q'_{k_j}(0) \frac{x}{N} + o\left(\frac{x}{N}\right), \quad (17)$$

where the Kronecker delta as the first term come from (3). We use the Pontrjagin duality between $\mathbb{Z}$ and the unit disk $\mathbb{T} = [-\pi, \pi]$ to construct an integral resolution of the Kronecker delta:

$$\delta_{k0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ipk} dp. \quad (18)$$

It is also convenient to introduce the Fourier transform $h(p)$ for the numerical sequence $q'_k(0)$ from the same Pontrjagin duality:

$$h(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q'_k(0) e^{ipk} \iff q'_k(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ipk} h(p) dp. \quad (19)$$

Then we can transform the Taylor expansion (17) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} q_k\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) &= \delta_{k0} + q'_k(0) \frac{x}{N} + o\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ipk} dp + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ipk} h(p) dp \frac{x}{N} + o\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ipk} \left(1 + h(p) \frac{x}{N}\right) dp + o\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp\left(-ipk + h(p) \frac{x}{N}\right) dp + o\left(\frac{x}{N}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where the last transformation is based on the estimation

$$\exp\left(h(p)\frac{x}{N}\right) = \left(1 + h(p)\frac{x}{N}\right) + o\left(\frac{x}{N}\right).$$

Substituting N copies of (20) to (16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(x) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_N=n} q_{k_1}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) q_{k_2}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \dots q_{k_N}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_N=n} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp\left(-ip_1 k_1 + h(p_1)\frac{x}{N}\right) dp_1 \right. \\ &\quad \times \dots \times \left. \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp\left(-ip_N k_N + h(p_N)\frac{x}{N}\right) dp_N + o\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_N=n} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp\left(-ip_1 k_1 + h(p_1)\frac{x}{N}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \dots \times \exp\left(-ip_N k_N + h(p_N)\frac{x}{N}\right) dp_1 \dots dp_N + o\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \Big) \\ &= \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_N=n} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^n \left(-ip_l k_l + h(p_l)\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) dp_1 \dots dp_N \right. \\ &\quad \left. + o\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Now we can observe that the expression $\sum_{l=1}^n (-ip_l k_l + h(p_l)\frac{x}{N})$ looks like an integral sum for the function $-ip(t)k'(t) + h(p(t))$ on the interval $t \in [0, x]$.

At this point we say together with physicists [22, § 5.1] magic words and (21) “could be symbolically written for $N \rightarrow \infty$ ” as

$$q_n(x) = \int \mathcal{D}k \mathcal{D}p \exp \int_0^x (-ip(t)k'(t) + h(p(t))) dt, \tag{22}$$

where the first integration is taken over all possible paths $k(t) : [0, x] \rightarrow \frac{1}{x}\mathbb{N}$, such that $k(0) = 0$ and $k(x) = \frac{n}{x}$, and the path $p(t) : [0, x] \rightarrow [-\pi, \pi]$ is unrestricted. It is enough to consider only paths $k(t)$ with monotonic grow—other paths make the zero contributions. Indeed the identity $q_l(x) \equiv 0$ for $l < 0$ (made by an agreement) implies that contribution of all paths with $k'(t) < 0$ at some point t vanish. Here (and in the inner integral of (22)) $k'(t)$ means the derivative of the path $k(t)$ in the distributional sense, i.e. it

is the Dirac delta function times $\frac{j}{x}$ in the points where $k(t)$ jumps from one integer $(\bmod \frac{1}{x})$ value $k(t-0) = \frac{m}{x}$ to another $k(t+0) = \frac{m+j}{x}$.

In fact there is no any magic in the above path integral. Due to the property $q_n(x) \equiv 0$ for $n < 0$ paths $k(t)$ take values on the finite set $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n$, otherwise they make the zero contribution to the path integral as was mentioned above. Therefore the set of paths $k(t)$ is countable. Under such a condition the situation is equivalent to a finite Markov process with a well-defined measure on the path space according to Kolmogorov theorem, see for example [3, § 1] or [25, Th. 2.1]. Moreover at the beginning of the next section we show how to calculate the path integral (22) as an ordinary integral.

Now we can give a solution to Problem 1.2 using the relation $p_n(x) = n!q_n(x)$ between $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$:

$$p_n(x) = n! \int \mathcal{D}k \mathcal{D}p \exp \int_0^x (-ipk' + h(p)) dt, \quad h(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p'_k(0) \frac{e^{ipk}}{k!}. \quad (23)$$

Note that a comparison of our Hamiltonian $h(p)$ with the formal power series $f(t)$ (5) made out of cumulants shows that $h(p) = f(e^{ip})$.

Example 3.1 Here is a list of Hamiltonians producing the polynomial sequences from Example 1.1.

1. The power monomials $p_n(x) = x^n$ are produced by $h(p) = e^{ip}$.
2. The rising factorial sequence $p_n(x) = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$ is produced by the Heaviside function $h(p) = \chi(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ipk}}{k}$.
3. The falling factorial sequence $p_n(x) = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1)$ is produced by the shifted Heaviside function

$$h(p) = \chi(p - \pi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k e^{ipk}}{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i(p-\pi)k}}{k}.$$

4. The Abel polynomials $A_n(x) = x(x - an)^{n-1}$ are produced by $h(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ak)^{k-1}}{k!} e^{ipk}$.
5. The Laguerre polynomials (2) are generated by the delta function $h(p) = \delta(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{ipk}$.

4 Some Applications

Let us start from the question⁴ of calculational applicability of the path integral formula (23). We transform this path integral into an ordinary integration. Because we could not make any seriously restricting assumptions about the function $h(p)$ the following arguments have an algebraical-combinatorial nature rather than analytic. They are similar to heuristic manipulations with formal power series which are used in a deduction of formula (6).

For a convenience we scale by $\frac{1}{x}$ the parameter t in the inner integral of (22):

$$\int_0^x (-ip(t)k'(t) + h(p(t))) dt = \int_0^1 (-ip_1(t_1)k'_1(t_1) + xh(p_1(t_1))) dt_1,$$

where on the right-hand side new paths are $k_1(t_1) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with the endpoint $k_1(0) = 0$ and $k_1(1) = n$, and the path $p_1(t_1) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [-\pi, \pi]$ is unrestricted. We continue renaming variables of integration t_1 , k_1 , and p_1 by t , k and p respectively.

As was mentioned before $k(t)$ is an increasing step functions uniquely defined by a collection of n points $t_j \in [0, 1]$ (some of them could coincide) where it jumps by 1. Thus $k'(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta(t - t_j)$ and consequently:

$$\int_0^1 -ip(t)k'(t) dt = -i \sum_{j=1}^n p(t_j).$$

Thereafter we could transform (23) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x) &= n! \int \mathcal{D}k \mathcal{D}p \exp \int_0^1 (-ip(t)k'(t) + xh(p(t))) dt \\ &= n! \int \mathcal{D}k \mathcal{D}p \exp \left(-i \sum_{j=1}^n p(t_j) + \int_0^1 xh(p(t)) dt \right) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Now we use the rotational symmetry of the unit circle $[-\pi, \pi]$ and that paths $p(t)$ are completely unrestricted, therefore the whole set P of such paths is also rotational invariant. Thus the integration over that set P acts just like an averaging over the unit circle $[-\pi, \pi]$. On the other hand a random path $k(t)$ is defined by a random collection of n points $t_j \in [0, 1]$ consequently the

⁴I am grateful to the second anonymous referee who suggested this question.

integration of $p(t_j)$ for any j over all paths $k(t)$ will produce n independent equal uniformly distributed random variables on the unit circle. Thus we could finally express our path integral (24) as a simple integration:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_n(x) &= n! \int \mathcal{D}k \mathcal{D}p \exp \left(-i \sum_{j=1}^n p(t_j) + \int_0^1 x h(p(t)) dt \right) \\
 &= n! \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp \left(-i \sum_{j=1}^n p + x h(p) \right) dp \\
 &= n! \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inp} e^{xh(p)} dp.
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

If we recall the connection $h(p) = f(e^{ip})$ between our Hamiltonian $h(p)$ and the generating function of cumulants $f(t)$ (5) then the last formula (25) obtains the very simple meaning: *it uses the Fourier transform on $[-\pi, \pi]$ to extract n -th term out of the generating function (6) for the polynomial sequence $p_n(x)$.*

REMARK 4.1 As we see that our formula (23) can offer in conventional calculations the same (or slightly longer) procedure as the well-known umbral approach (6). The situation could change if hypothetical *quantum computers* [14] will be able to calculate quantum propagators *directly in parallel processes*. In this case the path integral formula (23) should have advantages over the generating function (6) where calculations *must* be done in a row.

Finally we consider a realisation of the Schrödinger equation (12). Homogeneous propagator $S(q, t)$ (14) are wave functions (10) itself. Thus they should satisfy to the Schrödinger like equation (12). For polynomial sequences $q_n(x)$ this equation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} q_n(x) = \hat{H} q_n(x), \tag{26}$$

where the operator $\hat{H}$ is of the pseudodifferential type [24, 26]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{H} a_n &= \mathcal{F}^{p \rightarrow n} h(p) \mathcal{F}^{n \rightarrow p} a_n \\
 &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inp} h(p) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{ikp} dp, \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k a_{n-k},
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{p \rightarrow n}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{n \rightarrow p}$ the Fourier transform in the indicated variables. The function $h(p)$ above is the Hamiltonian from the path integral (22) and

$$h_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ipn} h(p) dp.$$

It turns to be just a convolution on $\mathbb{N}$ because Hamiltonian $h(p)$ depends only from p and is independent from k . The equation (27) express the property [11] of tokens to intertwine shift invariant operators between two cancellative semigroups. In the present case the operators are the derivative with respect x (i.e. the convolution with $\delta'(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$) and the convolution with h_n on $\mathbb{N}$.

Let $\widehat{M}$ be the operator on sequences $\widehat{M} : \{a_n\} \mapsto \{a_n/n!\}$. Then $q_n(x) = \widehat{M}p_n(x)$ and because $\widehat{M}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ commute we obtain for a polynomial sequence $p_n(x)$ of binomial type the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_n(x) = \widehat{M}^{-1} \widehat{H} \widehat{M} p_n(x) \iff \frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_n(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \frac{p_{n-k}(x)}{(n-k)!}. \quad (28)$$

Of course the above equation follows from the differentiation of identity (1) with respect to y at the point $y = 0$. While this formula and the next example are rather elementary we emphasise their relations to the quantum mechanical framework.

Example 4.2 The polynomial sequences from Examples 1.1 and 3.1 satisfy to the following realizations of equation (28):

1. The power monomials $p_n(x) = x^n$ satisfy to

$$p'_n(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k1} \frac{p_{n-k}(x)}{(n-k)!} = \frac{n!}{(n-1)!} p_{n-1}(x) = n p_{n-1}(x).$$

2. The rising factorial sequence $p_n(x) = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$ satisfies to

$$p'_n(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \frac{p_{n-k}(x)}{(n-k)!}.$$

3. The falling factorial sequence $p_n(x) = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1)$ satisfies to

$$p'_n(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \frac{p_{n-k}(x)}{(n-k)!}.$$

4. The Abel polynomials $A_n(x) = x(x - an)^{n-1}$ satisfy to

$$p'_n(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ak)^{k-1}}{k!} \frac{p_{n-k}(x)}{(n-k)!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} (ak)^{k-1} p_{n-k}(x).$$

5. The Laguerre polynomials (2) satisfy to

$$p'_n(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n-k}(x)}{(n-k)!}.$$

REMARK 4.3 In our consideration we used primary the definition of token (14) and the Pontrjagin duality in (18)–(19). Thus formula (22) has the same form for many other tokens [8], which we shall not consider here however. It follows from general properties of path integrals that for any admissible function $h(p)$ formula (22) gives a token $q_n(x)$ and (23) produce a polynomial sequence $p_n(x)$ of binomial type.

We obtain further generalisation if drop the homogeneity assumption (13). Let combinatorial quantities $p_{n,k}(x, y)$ where $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ be polynomials in the variable x of the degree n and in the variable y of the degree k . We assume that they satisfy the identities

$$p_{n,k}(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{n,m}(x, y) p_{m,k}(y, z),$$

for any fixed y such that $x \leq y \leq z$. Obviously this is an inhomogeneous version of the identities (1) and (14). The above quantum mechanical derivation of path integral (22) can be carried (under some necessary assumptions) also in this case. The main difference in the resulting formulae is that the Hamiltonian $h(p, k)$ will depend from both variables $p \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently the Schrödinger equation (28) will not be any more a trivial convolution over $\mathbb{N}$. We left consideration of this case for some further papers.

In the conclusion: the main result of this paper is yet another illustration to the old observation that mathematics is indivisible.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the grant YSU081025 of *Renaissance* foundation (Ukraine). I am in debt to late Professor Gian-Carlo Rota for

introducing me to the world of umbral calculus. I am also grateful to two anonymous referees for their constructive critique of the first version of this paper and many valuable suggestions (particularly as indicated in the footnotes 1 and 4).

References

- [1] Vladimir I. Arnold. *Mathematical Methods of Classic Mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [2] J. Cigler. Some remarks on Rota's umbral calculus. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A*, 81:27–42, 1978.
- [3] Yuri A. Daletski. Path integrals connected with operator evolutionary equations. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 17(5(107)):3–115, 1962.
- [4] Alexander Dynin. A rigorous path integral construction in any dimension. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 44:317–329, 1998. E-print: [math/9802058](#).
- [5] R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs. *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integral*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1965.
- [6] I. M. Gel'fand and A. M. Jaglom. Integration in functional spaces and its applications in quantum physics. *J. Mathematical Phys.*, 1:48–69, 1960. **MR** # 17,1261c.
- [7] Michael Henle. Binomial enumeration on dissects. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 202:1–39, 1975. **MR** # MR50:9601.
- [8] Vladimir V. Kisil. Tokens: An algebraic construction common in combinatorics, analysis, and physics. (In preparation).
- [9] Vladimir V. Kisil. Relativistic quantization and improved equation for a free relativistic particle. *Phys. Essays*, 11(1):69–80, 1998. E-print: [quant-ph/9502022](#). **MR** # 99c:81117.
- [10] Vladimir V. Kisil. Wavelets in Banach spaces. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 59(1):79–109, 1999. E-print: [math/9807141](#), **MR** # 2001c:43013.
- [11] Vladimir V. Kisil. The umbral calculus: a model from convoloids. *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, 19(2):315–338, 2000. E-print: [funct-an/9704001](#). **MR** # 2001g:05017.
- [12] Donald E. Knuth. Convolution polynomials. Preprint, Stanford Univ., <http://www-cs-faculty.stanford.edu/~knuth/papers/cp.tex.gz>, February 1996.

- [13] Joseph P.S. Kung, editor. *Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics: Introductory Papers and Commentaries*, volume 1 of *Contemporary Mathematicians*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston, 1995.
- [14] Yuri I. Manin. Classical computing, quantum computing, and Shor's factoring algorithm. *Astérisque*, (266):Exp. No. 862, 5, 375–404, 2000. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1998/99. **MR** # MR2001g:81040.
- [15] Lutz Mattner. What are cumulants? *Doc. Math.*, 4:601–622 (electronic), 1999. **MR** # 2001a:60017.
- [16] Ronald Mullin and Gian-Carlo Rota. On the foundation of combinatorial theory (III): Theory of binomial enumeration. In B.Harris, editor, *Graph Theory and Its Applications*, pages 167–213. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1970. Reprinted in [13, pp. 118–147].
- [17] Michael Reed and Barry Simon. *Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, volume 2 of *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [18] S. Roman and Gian-Carlo Rota. The umbral calculus. *Adv. in Math.*, 27:95–188, 1978.
- [19] Gian-Carlo Rota. The number of partitions of a set. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 71(5):498–504, May 1964. Reprinted in [20, pp. 1–6] and [13, pp. 111–117].
- [20] Gian-Carlo Rota. *Finite Operator Calculus*. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1975.
- [21] Gian-Carlo Rota, David Kahaner, and Andrew Odlyzko. Finite operator calculus. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 42(3):685–760, June 1973. Reprinted in [20, pp. 7–82].
- [22] Lewis H. Ryder. *Quantum Field Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 1996.
- [23] Sergei V. Shabanov and John R. Klauder. Path integral quantization and Riemannian-symplectic manifolds. *Phys. Lett. B*, 435(3-4):343–349, 1998. **MR** # MR99i:81127. E-print: [quant-ph/9805014](#).
- [24] Mikhail A. Shubin. *Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [25] Barry Simon. *Functional integration and quantum physics*. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1979. **MR** # MR84m:81066.

- [26] Michael E. Taylor. *Pseudodifferential Operators*, volume 34 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1981.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS LS2 9JT, UK
Email: `kisilv@maths.leeds.ac.uk`
URL: `http://maths.leeds.ac.uk/~kisilv/`